

## Ohnstad Twichell, P.C.

## ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Founded in 1939

Offices in West Fargo, Fargo, Hillsboro, Mayville, Page, Hope and Finley, North Dakota

DUANE R. BREITLING
WILLIAM J. BRUDVIK
BRENDA R. FOYT
ROBERT G. HOY
JOHN A. JUELSON
ROSS V. KELLER
MARSHALL W. MCCULLOUGH. CPA
STEVEN E. MCCULLOUGH
MICHAEL D. NELSON

MANFRED R. OHNSTAD 1914-1987

MICHAEL D. NEUGEBAUER
DEAN A. RINDY
ROBERT E. ROSENVOLD
DANIEL R. TWICHELL
S. LEE VINJE
DAVID L. WANNER

BRADLEY W. BERG, OF COUNSEL

PEGGY J. BUCHHOLZ, PLS Office Administrator

January 13, 1999

990001

JAN 1 4 1999

STATE OF MORTH DAKOTA

Ms. Penny Miller Clerk of the Supreme Court 600 East Boulevard Avenue, Dept. 180 Bismarck, ND 58505-0530

Re: Comment on Proposed Amendments to the North Dakota Rules of Lawyer Discipline

Dear Ms. Miller:

Thank you for forwarding the Notice of Hearing and accompanying copies of the proposed amendments to the North Dakota Rules of Lawyer Discipline, Rules of Professional Conduct, and Admission to Practice Rules. I take this opportunity to offer comment upon the proposed changes to Rule 4.5(F) governing reinstatement. I would appreciate your bringing this comment to the attention of the Court at a convenient time.

Rule 4.5(F), as proposed, provides, in relevant part, as follows:

F. Hearing on Application. Petitions for reinstatement of a disbarred or suspended lawyer under this rule must be filed with the board and served upon counsel. Upon receiving the petition, the board shall promptly refer the petition to a hearing body panel. Notice that a petition for reinstatement is pending must be mailed to the last known address of the complainant in the proceeding leading to the lawyer's disbarment or suspension. . . .

In essence, my concern is that the notification provision sought to be added does not go far enough in allowing comment upon a petition for reinstatement. I recognize that in most cases the "complainant in the proceeding leading to the lawyer's disbarment or suspension" would be the most directly interested party and would often be sufficient. In other circumstances, however, the former complainant may be disabled, deceased, or otherwise unavailable or disinterested. I am also aware of instances where multiple instances of questionable conduct may have occurred, both before and after disbarment or suspension, and some of those instances are never brought to the attention of the disciplinary board because disbarment or suspension had already occurred. I would suspect such undisciplined conduct would be relevant to the petitioner's fitness and competence to practice law.

REPLY TO:

Ms. Penny Miller January 13, 1999 Page 2

RGH dms

Consequently, I would encourage the Court to consider amending proposed Rule 4.5(F) to also require notification of the news media, in the same manner as the original disbarment or suspension was made known, so other members of the public with an interest may provide input on the reinstatement issue. Notice to the media should also provide both an efficient and effective means of notification without additional cost or inconvenience. Rule 6.1 does not seem to address, specifically, the petition for reinstatement.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on these proposed rules.

Very truly yours,

OHNSTAD TWICHELL, P.C.

Robert G. Hoy