FILED
IN THE OFFICE OF THE
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
JULY 31, 2020
STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

IN THE SUPREME COURT

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

Pamela Neppel, individually and as the parent and legal guardian of Z.N., an incapacitated individual,

Plaintiff, Appellant, and Cross-Appellee

٧.

Development Homes, Inc.,

Defendant, Appellee, and Cross-Appellant

and

Sandra J. Marshall, individually; Mark Indvik, individually, and Mark and Amelia Indvik as Co-Guardians of S.K.O.; Konah Zunugo, individually Defendants and Appellees. Supreme Court No. 20200036

Civil No. 18-2017-CV-03249

Amicus Brief for the Protection & Advocacy Project in Support of Plaintiff, Appellant, and Cross-Appellee, Supporting Reversal Appeal from Order for Judgment dated September 12, 2019

Appeal from Judgment dated September 12, 2019

Appeal from Order for Amended Judgment dated January 21, 2020

Appeal from Amended Judgment dated January 24, 2020

In the District Court of Grand Forks County,

Northeast Central Judicial District,

The Honorable Lolita Hartl-Romanick, Presiding

Represented by:

David Boeck, N.D. ID# 03208, Special Assistant Attorney General, Protection & Advocacy Project, 400 East Broadway Avenue, Suite 409, Bismarck, ND 58501-4071, Phone 701-328-2950

Table of Contents

Table of Authorities	page 3
Identity of Amicus and Its Interests	¶1
Law and Argument Legislative intent and purpose	
Statement of Counsel	¶18

Table of Authorities

Statutes	Paragraph
N.D.C.C. ch. 25-01.2	8, 9, 12, 14, 16, 17
N.D.C.C. ch. 25-01.3	2
N.D.C.C. § 1-02-01	10, 16
N.D.C.C. § 1-02-39	17
N.D.C.C. § 25-01.2-17	5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 16, 17
42 U.S.C. § 6000, et seq.	14
42 U.S.C. § 6010	14
42 U.S.C. §§ 15041-15045	2
Regulations	Paragraph
N D A O 111 / E E	0.
45 C E D part 1226	າ
To on the part 1020	
Legislative History Documer	.
Rights of the Developmenta	
Sess. Laws, ch. 294	8
1981 N.D. legislative sess.,	
Veterans Affairs Committee	•
March 23, 1981, Legislative	
Memorandum	14
1981 N.D. legislative sess.,	
Services & Veterans Affairs	9
2253, March 23, 1981 (test	•
representing Association for	Retarded Citizens) 9

IDENTITY OF AMICUS AND ITS INTERESTS

- ¶1. The Protection & Advocacy Project (P&A) is an independent state agency that is a positive example of cooperative federalism, receiving authority from both federal and state laws, which complement each other to protect individuals with disabilities from abuse, neglect, and exploitation, and to provide advocacy for disability-related rights to individuals with disabilities and groups of individuals with disabilities.
- Protection & Advocacy program for individuals with

 Developmental Disabilities (PADD). See 42 U.S.C. §§ 1504115045 and 45 C.F.R. part 1326. The complementary state
 statutes are N.D.C.C. chapter 25-01.3, which apply to both
 individuals with developmental disabilities and individuals with
 mental illness. The state administrative rules are at Title 65.5 of
 the Administrative Code.
- ¶3. The Protection & Advocacy Project serves individuals with developmental disabilities in North Dakota and routinely uses the "Developmental Disabilities Bill of Rights" in support of both its protective work and its advocacy work.

¶4. The Protection & Advocacy Project believes it can offer perspectives shared by many North Dakotans with developmental disabilities.

LAW AND ARGUMENT

- ¶5. I. Plain Meaning of N.D.C.C. § 25-01.2-17
- ¶6. The district court misinterpreted N.D.C.C. § 25-01.2-17 and in doing so, has jeopardized individuals with developmental disabilities who otherwise have valuable rights identified in the North Dakota Bill of Rights for the Developmentally Disabled.
- ¶7. The statute's plain meaning is to open the door to all available legal remedies.
- ¶8. "Rights of the Developmentally Disabled" was enacted by the 1981 Legislature. See Rights of the Developmentally Disabled, 1981 N.D. Sess. Laws ch. 294. Senate Bill No. 2253 was labelled, "An Act to specify certain rights to which developmentally disabled persons are entitled." Chapter 25-01.2 serves as a bill of rights for individuals with developmental disabilities. Section 25-01.2-17 in broad terms, specifies those rights are enforceable

Every individual with a developmental disability is entitled to enforce any of the rights guaranteed by this

chapter by civil action or any other remedy available by common law or statute

- ¶9. Chapter 25-01.2 was enacted to identify specific rights already in existence that might be especially important to individuals with developmental disabilities. These rights had arisen through various state and federal statutes, administrative regulations, the federal and state constitutions, and judicial opinions. The intent of § 25-01.2-17, as outlined clearly in the testimony provided in support of the bill, was to give remedies for civil rights violations. See Clerk's notes, House Social Services & Veterans Affairs hearing on S.B. 2253, March 23, 1981 (testimony of John Kapsner, representing Association for Retarded Citizens). Neither the statute nor its legislative history specifically limits the means under which relief may be sought to enforce rights guaranteed under N.D.C.C. chapter 25-01.2.
- ¶10. The keys to interpreting North Dakota statutes are articulated in statutes that govern interpretation. Distinguishing itself among common law jurisdictions, a North Dakota governing statute declares:

The rule of the common law that statutes in derogation thereof are to be construed strictly has no application to this code. The code establishes the law of this state

respecting the subjects to which it relates, and its provisions and <u>all proceedings under it</u> are to be construed liberally, with a view to effecting its objects and to promoting justice.

N.D.C.C. § 1-02-01 (emphasis added).

- ¶11. The district court's interpretation of N.D.C.C. § 25-01.2-17 does not give effect to the plain language of the statute. It is not a liberal construction; it is not consistent with a vision of serving the objects of this disability rights law.
- ¶12. The district court narrowed its interpretation by limiting compensatory damages to actions seeking equitable remedies under Chapter 25-01.2. This narrow construction urged by the Defense, imaginatively restricts alternatives otherwise available to an injured individual with developmental disabilities.

¶13. Legislative intent and purpose

¶14. The legislative history includes a March 9, 1981 Legislative Council Staff Memorandum, laying out the source of rights for Senate Bill No. 2253. The rights codified at Chapter 25-01.2 are primarily derived from the North Dakota and United States constitutions and federal statutes. When Chapter 25-01.2 was enacted in North Dakota, it was in great part derived from 42 U.S.C. § 6010, a federal version "Developmental Disabilities"

Assistance and Bill of Rights Act of 1975," codified at 42 U.S.C. §§ 6000 *et seq*.

- Rights of Persons with Developmental Disabilities." The Act created a list of protected rights and a mechanism for protection of those rights by authorizing a private cause of action and creating state protection and advocacy systems to protect and advocate for individuals and their rights. It was Congress' unequivocal establishment of rights for individuals with developmental disabilities, which North Dakota largely adopted in creating our state Bill of Rights for individuals with developmental disabilities.
- ¶16. This interpretation of Chapter 25-01.2, and specifically, Section 25-01.2-17, is inconsistent with the intent behind passing the bill enacting rights for individuals with developmental disabilities. North Dakota Century Code's provision for interpreting rules in the code states that "its provisions and all proceedings under it are to be construed liberally, with a view to effecting its objects and to promoting justice". N.D.C.C. § 1-02-01. Protection and Advocacy asserts that Section 25-01.2-17 is clear in both its language and its purpose: ensuring that every individual with a developmental

under Chapter 25-01.2, using the full breadth of the law's remedies when any of those rights has been violated, whether that remedy derives from statute or common law.

¶17. Should this Court feel it necessary to look beyond the plain meaning of Chapter 25-01.2 and N.D.C.C. § 25-01.2-17, the statute's full legislative history is instructive. See N.D.C.C. § 1-02-39 (giving the court discretion to consider a law's purpose, the circumstances under which it was enacted, legislative history, and consequences of a particular construction, among other factors).

¶18. Statement of Counsel

¶19. No party or party's attorney has authored any part of this brief. No party or party's counsel has contributed money that was intended to fund preparing or submitting this brief. No person, other than the amicus curiae, its members, or its counsel, contributed money that was intended to fund preparing or submitting this brief.

¶20. Counsel certifies there are ten pages to this brief, which complies with the page limit identified in N.D. Rule of Appellate Procedure 29 (a) (5), which should refer to Rule 32 (a) (8) (A).

¶21. Dated: August 6, 2020

Protection & Advocacy Project 400 East Broadway Avenue, Suite 409 Bismarck, ND 58501-4071

BY: /s/ David Boeck

David Boeck
Special Assistant Attorney General
For the Protection & Advocacy Project
ND Bar ID number 03208
Phone 701-328-2950
Fax 701-328-3934
Email: dboeck@nd.gov

Assisted by
Mandy Dendy
Protection & Advocacy Project
400 East Broadway Avenue, Suite 409
Bismarck, ND 58501-4071
ND Bar ID number 05875
Phone 701-328-2950
Fax 701-328-3934

Email: mandendy@nd.gov

In the Supreme Court

State of North Dakota

Pamela Neppel, individually and as the parent and legal guardian of Z.N., an incapacitated individual,

Plaintiff, Appellant, and Cross-Appellee

V.

Development Homes, Inc.,

Defendant, Appellee, and Cross-Appellant

and

Sandra J. Marshall, individually; Mark Indvik, individually, and Mark and Amelia Indvik as Co-Guardians of S.K.O.; Konah Zunugo, individually Defendants and Appellees.

Supreme Court No. 20200036

Civil No. 18-2017-CV-03249

Certificate of Service

I certify that on July 31, 2020, I served a motion for leave to file an amicus brief with a proposed amicus brief on these attorneys by email at these email addresses:

Jonathon F. Yunker Jerry W. Evenson jackyunker@traynorlaw.com jevenson@esattorneys.com

Dated: August 6, 2020

Protection & Advocacy Project 400 East Broadway Avenue, Suite 409 Bismarck, ND 58501-4071

BY: /s/ David Boeck

David Boeck

Special Assistant Attorney General For the Protection & Advocacy Project

ND Bar ID number 03208 Email: dboeck@nd.gov Phone 701-328-2950

FILED
IN THE OFFICE OF THE
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
AUGUST 6, 2020
STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

In the Supreme Court State of North Dakota

Pamela Neppel, individually and as the parent and legal guardian of Z.N., an incapacitated individual,

Plaintiff, Appellant, and Cross-Appellee

V

Development Homes, Inc.,

Defendant, Appellee, and Cross-Appellant

and

Sandra J. Marshall, individually; Mark Indvik, individually, and Mark and Amelia Indvik as Co-Guardians of S.K.O.; Konah Zunugo, individually Defendants and Appellees.

Supreme Court No. 20200036

Civil No. 18-2017-CV-03249

Certificate of Service

I certify that today I am serving an Amicus Brief (corrected) and this Certificate of Service on these attorneys by email at these email addresses:

Jonathon F. Yunker Jerry W. Evenson

jackyunker@traynorlaw.com jevenson@esattorneys.com

Jason P. Sayler William J. Behrmann

jasonsayler@traynorlaw.com wbehrmann@esattorneys.com

Dated: August 6, 2020

Protection & Advocacy Project 400 East Broadway Avenue, Suite 409 Bismarck, ND 58501-4071

BY: /s/ David Boeck

David Boeck Special Assistant Attorn

Special Assistant Attorney General For the Protection & Advocacy Project ND Bar ID number 03208

Email: dboeck@nd.gov
Phone 701-328-2950

FILED
IN THE OFFICE OF THE
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
AUGUST 10, 2020
STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

In the Supreme Court State of North Dakota

Pamela Neppel, individually and as the parent and legal guardian of Z.N., an incapacitated individual,

Plaintiff, Appellant, and Cross-Appellee

V

Development Homes, Inc.,

Defendant, Appellee, and Cross-Appellant

and

Sandra J. Marshall, individually; Mark Indvik, individually, and Mark and Amelia Indvik as Co-Guardians of S.K.O.; Konah Zunugo, individually Defendants and Appellees. Supreme Court No. 20200036

Civil No. 18-2017-CV-03249

Certificate of Service

I certify that today I have served an Amicus Brief (corrected) and this Certificate of Service on these attorneys by email at these email addresses:

Jonathon F. Yunker Jerry W. Evenson

<u>jackyunker@traynorlaw.com</u> <u>jevenson@esattorneys.com</u>

Jason P. Sayler William J. Behrmann

jasonsayler@traynorlaw.com wbehrmann@esattorneys.com

This Certificate of Service corrects an error made in a Certificate of Service for these documents August 6, 2020.

Dated: August 10, 2020

BY: /s/ David Boeck

David Boeck

Special Assistant Attorney General For the Protection & Advocacy Project

ND Bar ID number 03208 Email: <u>dboeck@nd.gov</u>

Phone 701-328-2950