ORIGINAL

IN THE SUPREME COURT STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

Matthew Eagleman,

Defendant/Appellant,)

vs.

Supreme Court No.20030149

State of North Dakota,

Respondant/Appellee.)

20030149

APPEAL FROM POST CONVICTION RELIEF

IN THE OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

NOV 3 2003

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

BRIEF OF APPELLEE

Lonnie W. Olson (#04526) Ramsey County State's Attorney 524 4th Ave. #16 Devils Lake, ND 58301 701-662-7077

TABLE OF CONTENTS	
	Page
Table of Authorities	i
Statement of the Case	1
Law and Argument	4
Conclusion	5

õ

RAMSEY COUNTY STATES ATTORNEY DEVILS LAKE, NORTH DAKOTA

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	PAGE
CASES	
<u>DeCoteau v. State</u> , 1998 N.D. 199, 586 N.W.2d 156 (1998)	4
State v. Roberson, 502 N.W.2d 249, 254	4
<u>Strickland v. Washington</u> , 466 U.S. 668, 694 (1984)	4

i.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On May 15, 2002, the Defendant was charged with Gross Sexual Imposition, a Class A Felony, in Ramsey County District Court. Specifically, the complaint alleged that in Ramsey County, North Dakota, the Defendant did engage in a sexual act with a fourteen year old girl who is now pregnant. On May 13, 2002, the Defendant was charged with harboring a runaway minor, specifically that on the 10th day of May, 2002, the Defendant did speak with Officer John Rose and was told that law enforcement was seeking a thirteen runaway female, and the Defendant was found with the girl by her parents on May 10.

On June 11, 2002, the Defendant was charged with attempted tampering with witnesses and informants in proceedings. Specifically, on June 10, 2002, the Defendant did attempt to send three letters to his mother from the Law Enforcement Center jail, asking her to keep in contact with the victim of his gross sexual imposition charges that were pending in Ramsey County District Court, and in two of those letters to his mother, there were letters to the victim with instructions to lie on the stand so his charges would be dismissed. Those letters were intercepted and not provided to the Defendant's mother.

On September 19, 2002, the Defendant plead guilty pursuant to a plea agreement. The specific plea agreement was that the State would dismiss the attempted tampering with a witness charge, and the Defendant would plead guilty to the

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Class A Felony Gross Sexual Imposition charge and the Class A Misdemeanor Harboring a Runaway charge. On the felony, the Defendant was sentenced to five years with the Department of Corrections, with credit for time served, and the balance was suspended for four years. He was placed on supervised probation as of September 19, 2002. Transcript of sentencing hearing, at page 2. The Court accepted the plea agreement, and asked the Defendant a number of questions regarding his understanding of the plea agreement. Transcript at page 2, lines 17-25, transcript at page 3, lines 1-23, transcript at page 4, lines 1-25. The Court then specifically read the allegations of the Gross Sexual Imposition charge, that on or about February 2002, in Ramsey County, North Dakota the Defendant committed the offense of Gross Sexual Imposition, to-wit: the said defendant did engage in a sexual act with a fourteen year old girl who is now pregnant. With regard to this charge how do you plead, and the Defendant answered "guilty". Transcript at page 5, lines 1-7. The Court asked if the factual basis was set out in the complaint and information, to which the State responded that in February 2002, in Ramsey County, Mr. Eagleman, who was eighteen at the time, had sexual intercourse with a fourteen year old girl who became pregnant. Transcript at page 5, lines 11-13. Court accepted this as a factual basis for the guilty plea. The Court then read the allegation of the harboring a runaway minor to the Defendant. Transcript at page 5, lines 16-24. The Defendant plead guilty to charge. Transcript at page 5,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Court then asked several questions verifying line 25. that this was a voluntary plea agreement and the Defendant indicated that no one had threatened or coerced him into pleading guilty. Transcript at page 6, lines 1-3. again advised him that by pleading guilty he was giving up his right to a jury trial and giving up his right to confront witnesses that would testify against him, and the Defendant answered "yes, sir". Transcript at page 6, lines 7-11. days later, on September 27, 2002, the Defendant baracaded himself in his sister's apartment, located in Devils Lake, with the juvenile victim of his crime, in violation of Condition 20b of his Appendix A. He also, at that time, was with his sister's eighteen month old child, in violation of Condition 20c of Appendix A. A probation revocation hearing was held on October 16, 2002. The Court found that the Defendant had violated those terms of his probation, and revoked his prior sentence. On the harboring charge, the Court sentenced him to one year with the Department of Corrections, with credit for 141 days. On the gross sexual imposition charge, the Court sentenced the Defendant to five years with the Department of Corrections with no credit for time served. He was to serve four years consecutive with the harboring charge, and one year was suspended for five years from his date of release. In April, 2003, the Defendant filed a motion for post conviction relief, alleging the same issue of place of conception which had been the basis of the attempted tampering with a witness charge. On May 15, 2003,

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the Court denied the Defendant's motion for relief. Defendant appealed.

LAW AND ARGUMENT

The issue of ineffective assistance of counsel on appeal is designed as a two part analysis. Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668,694(1984). The two questions arise as to whether counsel's performance was deficient and but for the unprofessional conduct, a different result would have The North Dakota Supreme Court has held that a defendant claiming ineffective assistance of counsel must establish two elements; (1) counsel's performance was deficient and (2) counsel's deficient performance prejudiced the defendant. State v. Roberson, 502 N.W.2d 249,251. Specifically, the defendant must establish a reasonable probability that but for the lawyers unprofessional conduct, the result of the proceedings would have been different. <u>DeCoteau v. State</u>, 1998 N.D. 199, 586 N.W.2d 156 (1998). Defendant must further point out with specificity how and where the trial counsel was incompetent and that the probable result would have been different. Id.

In the case at hand, the Defendant had been charged with harboring a runaway thirteen year old girl, and gross sexual imposition, for having sex with a fourteen year old girl, and getting her pregnant. While he was in jail, the Defendant was charged with attempted witness tampering for attempting to send letters to his mother to contact the victim and change

The Defendant accepted a plea agreement, wherein he plead guilty to the gross sexual imposition and harboring a runaway charge and the attempted witness tampering charge was dismissed. The Court accepted the plea agreement and took a factual basis for the charge on September 19, 2002. The Court specifically ordered the Defendant to not have contact with any children under the age of eighteen, and that he have no contact with the victim of the gross sexual imposition charge. He was released from court that day. Eight days later, the Defendant was found in an apartment with the victim of the gross sexual imposition charge, and an eighteen month old child.

After being sentenced to prison, the Defendant filed the application for post conviction relief, alleging ineffective assistance of counsel. The Court denied this, citing the fact that the Court accepted the plea agreement and the factual basis that the sex act had occurred in Ramsey County.

CONCLUSION

The Defendant had failed by a preponderance of the evidence that the trial attorney's conduct was unprofessional and but for the unprofessional conduct the result would have been different. The State asks that the trial court decision be upheld.

Dated this \mathcal{L}^{μ} day of November, 2003.

RAMSEY COUNTY STATES ATTORNEY DEVILS LAKE, NORTH DAKOTA

1 |

Longie W. Olson (#04526) Ramsey County State's Attorney 524 4th Ave. #16 Devils Lake, ND 48301 701-662-7077