IN THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

ORDER OF ADOPTION

Supreme Court No. 20110339

Proposed Amendments to North Dakota Rule of Civil Procedure 16, Regarding Pretrial Conferences, Scheduling, Management

On October 5, 2011, the Joint Procedure Committee submitted proposed amendments to

North Dakota Rule of Civil Procedure 16. The proposed Amendments are available at

http://www.ndcourts.gov/Court/Notices/Notices.htm. Individuals who do not have internet

access may contact the Office of the Clerk of the Supreme Court to obtain a copy of the

proposal. The Court considered the matter, and

ORDERED, that the proposed amendments to North Dakota Rule of Civil Procedure 16.

restoring the previously omitted language, are ADOPTED, effective December 1, 2011.

The Supreme Court of the State of North Dakota convened this 30th day of November,

2011, and the Honorable Dale V. Sandstrom, the Honorable Mary Muehlen Maring, the

Honorable Carol Ronning Kapsner, and the Honorable Daniel J. Crothers, Justices, directing the

Clerk of the Supreme Court to enter the above order. The Honorable Gerald W. VandeWalle,

Chief Justice, being unavoidably absent, did not participate in the decision.

Penny Miller

Clerk

North Dakota Supreme Court

N.D.R.Civ.P.

2	RULE 16. PRETRIAL CONFERENCES, SCHEDULING, MANAGEMENT
3	(a) Purposes of a pretrial conference. In any action, the court may, and when any of
4	the triggering events specified in Rule 16(b) occur must, order the attorneys and any self-
5	represented parties to appear in person, telephonically, or by other electronic means, for one
6	or more pretrial conferences for such purposes as:
7	(1) expediting disposition of the action;
8	(2) establishing early and continuing control so that the case will not be protracted
9	because of lack of management;
10	(3) discouraging wasteful pretrial activities;
11	(4) improving the quality of the trial through more thorough preparation;
12	(5) facilitating settlement; and
13	(6) discussing the desirability of using alternative dispute resolution.
14	(b)Scheduling; Triggering events.
15	(1)Triggering events. The court must conduct a pretrial conference for the purpose of
16	entering a scheduling order if:
17	(A) more than six months have passed since filing of the summons and complaint or
18	answer without final disposition of the case or filing of a dispositive motion;
19	(B) the summons and complaint or answer was served more than six months before
20	filing and ninety days have passed since filing without final disposition of the case or filing
21	of a dispositive motion;

43	56 and other motions;
44	(F) controlling and scheduling discovery;
45	(G) resolving issues relating to disclosure or discovery of electronically stored
46	information, including the form or forms in which it should be produced;
47	(H) scheduling the identification of witnesses and documents, scheduling the filing
48	and exchange of any pretrial briefs, and setting dates for further conferences and for trial;
49	(I) referring issues to a master;
50	(J) settling the case and using special procedures to assist in resolving the dispute;
51	(K) determining the form and content of the pretrial order;
52	(L) disposing of pending motions;
53	(M) adopting special procedures for managing potentially difficult or protracted
54	actions that may involve complex issues, multiple parties, difficult legal questions, or unusual
55	proof problems;
56	(N) ordering a separate trial under Rule 42(b) of a claim, counterclaim, crossclaim,
57	third-party claim, or particular issue;
58	(O) ordering the presentation of evidence early in the trial on a manageable issue that
59	could, on the evidence, be the basis for a judgment as a matter of law under Rule 50(a) or a
60	judgment on partial findings under Rule 52(c);
61	(P) establishing a reasonable limit on the time allowed to present evidence;
62	(Q) allocating peremptory challenges; and
63	(R) facilitating in other ways the just, speedy, and inexpensive disposition of the

action.

- (d) Pretrial orders. After any conference under this rule, the court must issue an order reciting the action taken. This order controls the course of the action unless the court modifies it.
- (e) Final pretrial conference. The court may hold a final pretrial conference to formulate a trial plan, including a plan to facilitate the admission of evidence. The conference must be held as close to the time of trial as is reasonable, and must be attended by at least one attorney who will conduct the trial for each party and by any self-represented party.

The court may modify the order issued after a final pretrial conference only to prevent manifest injustice.

- (h) Sanctions.
- (1) In general. On motion or on its own, the court may issue any just orders, including those authorized by Rule 37, if a party or its attorney:
 - (A) fails to appear at a pretrial conference;
- (B) is substantially unprepared to participate, or does not participate in good faith, in the conference; or
 - (C) fails to obey a scheduling or other pretrial order.
- (2) Imposing fees and costs. Instead of or in addition to any other sanction, the judge must order the party, its attorney, or both to pay the reasonable expenses, including attorney fees, incurred because of any noncompliance with this rule, unless the noncompliance was substantially justified or that other circumstances make an award of expenses unjust.

86	Rule 16 was amended, effective July 1, 1981; March 1, 1986; March 1, 1990; March
87	1, 1996; March 1, 2000; August 1, 2004; March 1, 2008; March 1, 2011;
88	Rule 16 was amended, effective March 1, 2000, to add a new subdivision (a)(6)
89	relating to alternative dispute resolution. Under N.D.R.Ct. 8.8, all parties in civil cases are
90	required to discuss early alternative dispute resolution and must file a statement with the
91	district court regarding participation in ADR.
92	Rule 16 was amended, effective March 1, 2011, in response to the December 1, 2007
93	revision of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The language and organization of the rule
94	were changed to make the rule more easily understood and to make style and terminology
95	consistent throughout the rules.
96	Subdivision (a) was amended and new subdivisions (b), (c) and (e) were added
97	effective August 1, 2004, to incorporate a mechanism to trigger a pretrial conference when
98	certain events occur in an action.
99	Subdivision (d) was amended, effective March 1, 2008, to add issues related to
100	electronically stored information to the list of possible subjects for discussion at a pretria
101	conference.
102	Subdivision (d) was amended, effective March 1, 1996, to follow the 1993
103	amendment to Fed.R.Civ.P 16(c).
104	Subdivision (h) was amended, effective March 1, 1990. The amendment is technical
105	in nature and no substantive change is intended.

106	Sources: Joint Procedure Committee Minutes of September 30, 2011, pages :
107	September 25, 2008, page 7; April 24-25, 2008, pages 25-26; October 11-12, 2007, page 3;
108	September 18-19, 2003, pages 11-18; April 24-25, 2003, pages 26-30; May 6-7, 1999, pages
109	7-8; January 28-29, 1999, pages 7-12; January 26-27, 1995, page 10; September 29-30, 1994,
110	pages 22-23; April 20, 1989, page 2; December 3, 1987, page 11; April 26, 1984, pages 26-
111	28; January 20, 1984, pages 18-23; September 18-19, 1980, pages 12-13; September 20-21,
112	1979, page 11; Fed.R.Civ.P. 16.

Statutes Affected:

Superseded: N.D.R.C. 1943 §§ 28-1101, 28-1102, 28-1103, 28-1104.

Cross Reference: N.D.R.Civ.P. 15 (Amended and Supplemental Pleadings), N.D.R.Civ.P. 29 (Stipulations Regarding Discovery Procedure), N.D.R.Civ.P. 36 (Requests for Admission), N.D.R.Civ.P. 40 (Assignment of Cases for Trial), N.D.R.Civ.P. 41 (Dismissal of Actions); N.D.R.Ct. 8.4 (Summons in Action for Divorce or Separation), N.D.R.Ct. 8.8 (Alternative Dispute Resolution).