		RTH DAKO		IN DISTRICT COURT				
COUNTY OF				JUDICIAL DISTRICT				
		IN THI	E MATTI	ER OF THE GUARDIANSHIP OF				
		A	N INCAI	PACITATED INDIVIDUAL				
Case No.								
		ORDI	ER – EM	ERGENCY GUARDIANSHIP				
1.	A hearing	g on the abov	e entitled 1	matter was held before the Court pursuant to North Dakota				
Cent	ury Code Se	ection 30.1-28	3-10.1 and	to consider a Petition for Emergency Guardianship				
brou	ght by the po	etitioner,		,, North Dakota.				
2.	Based upon the petition and supporting information presented to the court for emergency							
guar	dianship:							
	a. the Co	ourt finds that	the allege	ed incapacitated individual has no current guardian; that an				
	emergeno	cy exists; and	that subst	antial harm to the alleged incapacitated individual's health,				
	safety, or	welfare will	likely occ	ur if an emergency guardian is not appointed.				
	Therefore, the Court appoints			as emergency guardian.				
	The emer	gency guardi	an has the	following authority and responsibilities:				
	<u>Full</u>	<u>Limited</u>	None					
				Place of Residence				
				Education and/or training				
				Legal matters				
				Vocation				
				Financial matters				
	П	П	П	Medical treatment				

<u>Full</u>	<b>Limited</b>	<u>None</u>				
			Involuntary treatment with prescribed medications			
			Access to and control and disposition of safety deposit box and contents.			
The emer	gency guardi	anship teri	minates 90 days from the date of this Order or upon further			
order of the Court, whichever occurs earlier.						
Letters of	Emergency	Guardians	hip shall issue in accordance with this Order.			
If the em	ergency gua	rdian has	authority for financial decisionmaking under this			
Order an	Order and a conservator for the alleged incapacitated individual has not been					
appointe	ted, the emergency guardian must safeguard any assets held by the alleged					
incapacit	incapacitated individual and during the period of appointment and subject to any					
further Order of this Court may only expend the individual's assets for the necessary						
support and care of the individual.						
All costs incurred for attorney's services are the responsibility of the alleged incapacitated						
individua	l's estate.					
			OR			
_ b. the Co	urt finds that	the allege	d incapacitated individual has no current guardian, and			
substantia	al harm to the	alleged in	acapacitated individual's health, safety, or welfare will not			
likely occur if an emergency guardian is not appointed. Therefore, the Petition for						
Emergeno	cy Guardians	hip is dism	nissed.			
Dated			, 20			
			BY THE COURT:			
			Judge of the District Court			

2 Rev. Jan 2018