

**Supreme Court Clerk's Office - Dever, Sonya**

---

**From:** Miller, Penny  
**Sent:** Friday, April 12, 2013 10:09 AM  
**To:** Hulm, Petra  
**Subject:** FW: RULE 3.5 COMMENT

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

---

**From:** Ross Sundeen [<mailto:Ross@dakotalawdogs.com>]  
**Sent:** Friday, April 12, 2013 9:44 AM  
**To:** Miller, Penny  
**Subject:** RULE 3.5 COMMENT

Ms. Miller, I write this letter in support of Ariston Johnson's comment to proposed Rule 3.5.

I also think the Rule the court wants ignores and to an extent undermines the statutory mandate that all states attorneys whether part or full time have a responsibility to oversee their appointed assistants. It seems the service rule as proposed by the Court circumvents statutorily mandated oversight. At least when an e-served document comes to one central location it can be delegated as determined by the SA. Otherwise it is a free for all.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Ross L Sundeen  
Dunn County States Attorney