

**Miller, Penny**

---

**From:** Lindvig, Jean  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 25, 2014 4:35 PM  
**To:** Miller, Penny  
**Subject:** Comment on Rule 10

FILED  
IN THE OFFICE OF THE  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
MAY 25, 2014  
STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

The reduction of paper copies submitted to the Court on appeals greatly reduces the transcriber's worth in preparation and distribution of the transcripts. I support saving paper and storage space, but I do not support a decrease in payment for transcripts.

Electronic Court Recorders and Court Reporters are submitting a request to change Policy 206. I ask if there is any way to postpone the change in Rule 10 regarding paper copies of transcripts until after the Policy 206 change can be addressed and hopefully changed to positively impact the payment for preparation of transcripts. I have no idea what those timelines would be, but I feel it is a prudent consideration on behalf of so many impacted by this change. A simple one day hearing transcript would be reduced by \$350.00+/- on an appeal preparation, which is quite a large backslide in compensation. Or could a value be put on the electronic copy that would equal an original and copy that are being eliminated?

Jean Lindvig  
Electronic Court Recorder/Judge Nelson  
NW Judicial District  
PO Box 2047  
Williston, ND 58802-2047  
701-774-4367  
[jlindvig@ndcourts.gov](mailto:jlindvig@ndcourts.gov)