

**Subject:** RE: Vacancy in Judgeship No. 9, South Central Judicial District - Supreme Court No.  
20210177

Dear Ms. Hulm,

I write in support of Judgeship No. 9 being filled ASAP and remaining in the South Central Judicial District. The South Central remains the busiest district in the state and our jury trial count is at or near a normal year, with half of the year still remaining! In addition, there is an adult and juvenile drug court that must be run, taking significant judge time. The district's judges are also heavily involved in committee work, which obviously takes additional time. In short, the Judgeship is needed right where it is and I urge its filling.

Respectfully Submitted,

John Grinsteiner

---