

**Filed 7/11/18 by Clerk of Supreme Court**

**IN THE SUPREME COURT**

**STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA**

---

2018 ND 151

---

In the Interest of Z.F.T., a child

-----  
Grand Forks County Social Services,

Petitioner and Appellee

v.

Z.F.T., a child,

Respondent

and

R.B.T., father, and R.S., mother,

Respondents and Appellants

---

No. 20180181

---

In the Interest of R.J.R., a child

-----  
Grand Forks County Social Services,

Petitioner and Appellee

v.

R.J.R., a child, C.C.R., father,

Respondents

and

R.S., mother,

Respondent and Appellant

---

No. 20180182

---

In the Interest of J.M.R., a child

-----  
Grand Forks County Social Services,

Petitioner and Appellee

v.

J.M.R., a child, C.C.R., father,

Respondents

and

R.S., mother,

Respondent and Appellant

---

No. 20180183

---

In the Interest of D.R.R., a child

-----

Grand Forks County Social Services,

Petitioner and Appellee

v.

D.R.R., a child, C.C.R., father,

Respondents

and

R.S., mother,

Respondent and Appellant

---

No. 20180184

---

In the Interest of A.K.R., a child

-----

Grand Forks County Social Services,

Petitioner and Appellee

v.

A.K.R., a child, C.C.R., father,

Respondents

and

R.S., mother,

Respondent and Appellant

---

No. 20180185

---

Appeals from the Juvenile Court of Grand Forks County, Northeast Central Judicial District, the Honorable Jay D. Knudson, Judge.

AFFIRMED.

Per Curiam.

Jackson Pasco (argued), third-year law student, under the Rule on Limited Practice of Law by Law Students, Jacqueline A. Gaddie, (appeared), Assistant State's Attorney, Grand Forks, ND, for petitioner and appellee.

Kiara Costa Kraus-Parr, Grand Forks, ND, for R.B.T., respondent and appellant.

Jessica J. Ahrendt, Grand Forks, ND, for R.S., respondent and appellant.

**Interest of Z.F.T., R.J.R., J.M.R., D.D.R. and A.K.R.**

**No. 20180181-20180185**

**Per Curiam.**

[¶1] R.S., the mother, appeals a juvenile court order terminating her parental rights in Z.F.T., R.J.R., J.M.R., D.D.R., and A.K.R. R.B.T., father of Z.F.T., appeals the same order terminating his parental rights in Z.F.T. On appeal R.S. and R.B.T. argue clear and convincing evidence did not exist to support the termination. R.S. also argues reasonable efforts were not made to preserve and reunite the family. The juvenile court found the children were deprived; the deprivation was likely to continue; the children have already suffered or are likely to suffer serious physical, mental, moral or emotional harm if placed back in custody of their parents; and reasonable efforts were made to reunite the family. The juvenile court's findings were not clearly erroneous and we summarily affirm under N.D.R.App.P. 35.1(a)(2).

[¶2] Gerald W. VandeWalle, C.J.  
Daniel J. Crothers  
Lisa Fair McEvers  
Jon J. Jensen  
Jerod E. Tufte