

IN THE SUPREME COURT  
STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

---

2023 ND 241

---

Kelly Mathisen and Char Mathisen,

Plaintiffs and Appellees

v.

Marvin Becker-Johner,

Defendant and Appellant

---

No. 20230263

---

Appeal from the District Court of McKenzie County, Northwest Judicial District, the Honorable Daniel S. El-Dweek, Judge.

AFFIRMED.

Per Curiam.

Thomas E. Kalil, Williston, ND, for plaintiffs and appellees.

Marvin Becker-Johner, self-represented, Watford City, ND, defendant and appellant.

**Mathisen v. Becker-Johner**  
**No. 20230263**

**Per Curiam.**

[¶1] Marvin Becker-Johner appeals from a district court order granting a motion for summary judgment in favor of the Mathisens after he failed to respond to the motion. Becker-Johner contends the court erred because he had previously responded to similar motions and the judgment fails to adequately define the easement’s boundaries. Becker-Johner’s appellate brief does not include a legal argument, does not include any supporting authorities for his assertions, fails to provide any reference to the evidentiary record, and does not contain the minimum requirements provided in N.D.R.App.P. 28. *See State v. Noack*, 2007 ND 82, ¶ 9, 732 N.W.2d 389 (“[A] brief must contain a statement of the issues presented for review; a statement of the facts and, where those facts are disputed, references to the evidentiary record supporting the appellant’s statement of the facts; and the appellant’s legal argument, including the authorities on which the appellant relies.”). We summarily affirm under N.D.R.App.P. 35.1(a)(8).

[¶2] Jon J. Jensen, C.J.  
Daniel J. Crothers  
Lisa Fair McEvers  
Jerod E. Tufte  
Douglas A. Bahr