IN THE SUPREME COURT STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

_	2025 ND 225	
Kayla Myrick; Kayla N	Iyrick on	
behalf of LM and LM,		Petitioners
V.		
Terica Holmes,	Respo	ndent and Appellant
_	No. 20250199	
Appeal from the Distri Honorable Dann E. Gr	ict Court of Stark County, Southwest eenwood, Judge.	Judicial District, the
AFFIRMED.		
Per Curiam.		
Terica Holmes, Dickin	son, ND, respondent and appellant;	submitted on brief.

Myrick v. Holmes No. 20250199

Per Curiam.

[¶1] Terica Holmes appeals from a disorderly conduct restraining order. She argues the restraining order violates her constitutional rights because it is "retaliatory and malicious." Holmes's brief does not contain a statement of facts, specify a standard of review, or provide citations to the record showing issues were preserved for review. *See* N.D.R.App.P. 28(b) (providing the minimum requirements for an appellant's brief). We summarily affirm under N.D.R.App.P. 35.1(a)(8) because Holmes's brief does not meet the minimum requirements.

[¶2] Jon J. Jensen, C.J.

Daniel J. Crothers

Lisa Fair McEvers

Jerod E. Tufte

Douglas A. Bahr